Legislative Fiscal Analyst:

Agency Response Form

Version 2010 3.0

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill

2010FL-0152/007

Date 11-24-09

Short Title

Per Diem and Travel Expense Modifications

ContactJenefer YoungfieldTitleSchool Construction SpecialistAgency:State Office of EducationPhone(801) 538-7669

Short Form

Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals.

If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice.

Attachments welcome.

- X State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.
- There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- X There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- x The bill will not affect revenues.

Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

The proposed modifications to the bill codify language, streamlining it and adding clarity. Changes direct the reader to rules by the Division of Finance pursuant to Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107, regarding per diem rates and travel expense, and will not require additional appropriations.

A.	What	parts	of	the	bill	cause	fiscal	impact?
----	------	-------	----	-----	------	-------	--------	---------

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

В.	Which	program	gets	the	appro	priation?
----	-------	---------	------	-----	-------	-----------

(Approp. Unit Code)

(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is _____ of ____

C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

Since the proposed changes only serve to streamline and add clarity, there is no fiscal impact.

There could be a possible reduction in expenditures for a school district with regard to board members, as the proposal in section 49-11-202 (5) (b) changes "expenses" to the more restrictive language "travel expenses".

The responsibility for the state superintendent to certify actual and necessary expenses incurred by State Board of Education members in attending board meetings or performing duties authorized by the board (see section 53A-1-102 (4) is proposed to be eliminated with the proposed changes as well.

Fiscal Impact Table	Current Budget Year FY 2010	Coming Budget Year FY 2011	Future Budget Year FY 2012			
D. If this is a revenue bill, sho	w impacts here. (Select fund	s from drop-down menu.)				
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0			
E. Show Costs to Implement	the Bill by Fund (Select fund	ds from drop-down menu.)				
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0			
F. Show Costs to Implement	the Bill by Expense Catego	ory.				
Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru Total	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0			
G. How will the bill impa	ct local governments?					
Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments.	The changes to language in t as provide consistency throu		ty, streamline it, as well			
Attachments welcome.						
H. How will the bill impact businesses?						
Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.	The changes to language in the bill will help to add clarity, streamline it, as well as provide consistency throughout.					
Attachments welcome.						
I. How will the bill impac	et individuals?					
Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.	The changes to language in the bill will help to add clarity, streamline it, as well as provide consistency throughout.					
Attachments welcome.						
This is a draft fiscal note response for LFA 11.20.08	rom the Utah State Office of Edu	cation (USOE) and may be re	evised in the future.			